

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/551,261  | 09/28/2005  | Efraim Haimoff       | 27028U              | 3363             |
| 20529 7590 09/13/2007<br>NATH & ASSOCIATES<br>112 South West Street |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   |             |                      | ABRAHAM, TANIA      |                  |
| Alexandria, VA 22314  |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 3636                |                  |
|   |             |                      |                     |                  |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                      | 09/13/2007          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
|  | 10/551,261   | HAIMOFF, EFRAIM   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|  | Tania Abraham  | 3636  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |  |   |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | ATE OF THIS COMMUNION 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONON, cause the application to become Ale | CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 September 2005</u> .  |  |   |  |  |  |
| ,  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  |   |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |   |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  | •   |  |  |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> are subject to restriction and/or  | wn from consideration.   |   |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.  | epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyantion is required if the drawing  | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |  |   |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | Paper No(  | Summary (PTO-413)<br>s)/Mail Date<br>nformal Patent Application<br>   |  |  |  |

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group A, claims 1-23, 36, 38 and 39, drawn to a support apparatus.

Group B, claims 24-35 and 37, drawn to a method of manufacturing a furniture item.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups A and B do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Group A is directed to an apparatus, which has the special technical feature of a support member in a secured engagement with a support frame; Group B is directed to a method of manufacturing, which has the special technical feature of molding engagement parts to a flexible web material.
- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,261

Art Unit: 3636

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tania Abraham whose telephone number is 571-272-2635. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Dunn can be reached on 571-272-6670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,261 Page 4

Art Unit: 3636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tania Abraham September 5, 2007

> DAVID DUNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER